

Message

From: Strynar, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5A9910D5B38E471497BD875FD329A20A-STRYNAR, MARK]
Sent: 8/31/2018 11:37:56 AM
To: LEUNG, LAM-WING H [LAM.H.LEUNG-1@chemours.com]; stephen.h.shoemaker@chemours.com; kevin.garon@chemours.com
Subject: Meeting and past Chemours groundwater testing info

Lam, Kevin and Stephen,

It was nice to meet with you and Detlef yesterday. Thanks for sharing the GAC treatment data. It looks very promising. It would be great to understand the LOQ of the contract lab method and how it differs from the LOQ of 200 ng/L direct injection method. Concerning the monitoring well data we supplied this info to NCDEQ back on September 13th, 2017. The link below is the publicly available PDF of that data.

The sections in grey as you read will be estimated based on HFPO-DA response. Not quantitated with authentic standards. I am going to go back and take a look at a few of these to see what other analytes we saw but did not report. These were the analytes we were asked to report on at that time. I expect compounds such as Hydro EVE or NVHOS may turn up but I am unsure.

Mark

NCDEQ response to me when I asked for the public link:

The map of results on the DEQ GenX webpage. Go to the Groundwater GenX page; it's the second link on the page. The EPA document with GW results, including expanded PFAS compounds, has been made public. It is in our online electronic database:

http://edocs.deq.nc.gov/WasteManagement/0/edoc/744114/NCD047368642_NC%20DEQ%20GW%20Sampling%20Report%20from%20EPA%20Lab_20170913.pdf?searchid=f45659bb-78f1-49ef-ac75-ea0f02e8228b